

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/521,356	08/08/2005	Milo Sebastian Peter Shaffer	011765-0314577	9306	
909 7590 08/26/2009 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN, LLP			EXAM	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 10500			VETERE, ROBERT A		
MCLEAN, VA	A 22102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1792		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/26/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application/Control Number: 10/521,356

Art Unit: 1792

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Examiner's Comments

An after final amendment, amending claims 1 and 23-25 and cancelling claimed 6, 18 and 26 was received on 8/12/2009. However, because these amendments present new issues for further search and consideration, they have not been entered at this time. The proposed new limitation "wherein the catalyst is not pre-treated with hydrogen gas" would raise a new issue under 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph because it is not supported by the specification. The fact that the specification fails to mention the use of hydrogen gas is not sufficient to support the affirmative limitation that hydrogen gas is not used as is claimed.

## Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments filed 8/12/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- Applicant first argues that Resasco, Tennant I and Tennant II fail to teach that hydrogen gas is
  not used to pretreat the catalyst. While the examiner agrees that these references teach that the catalyst
  is pre-treated with hydrogen, this argument is considered moot because the amendments containing this
  limitation have not been entered at this time.
- 3. Applicant also argues that there is no objective reason to combine the teaching of Kawakami with Resasco because Kawakami teaches a method which is operated at an elevated pressure. This is not persuasive. Kawakami explains that it is well known in the art that elution can be used to collect nanoparticles. Even if Kawakami teaches a method which is performed at a higher pressure than Resasco, one of ordinary skill in the art would still understand that elution could be used to collect the nanoparticles after the method of forming said nanoparticles had been completed.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROBERT VETERE whose telephone number is (571)270-1864. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Cleveland can be reached on 571-272-1418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Application/Control Number: 10/521,356 Page 3

Art Unit: 1792

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

/Robert Vetere/ Examiner, Art Unit 1792

1000.

/Michael Cleveland/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792